arXiv:1406.6997v1 [math.CA] 26 Jun 2014

Hua type beta-integrals and projective systems of measures on flag spaces

Yury A. Neretin[1]

We construct a family of measures on flag spaces (or, equivalently, on the spaces of upper-triangular matrices) compatible with respect to natural projections. We obtain an $n(n-1)/2$-parametric family of beta-integrals over space of upper-triangular matrices of size n.

1 Formula

1.1. Beta-integrals. Let $\mathbb{K}$ be $\mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{C}$, or the quaternions $\mathbb{H}$, let $\varkappa = \dim \mathbb{K}$ (over $\mathbb{R}$). By dz we denote the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{K}$.

Denote by $\mathcal{Z}_n(\mathbb{K})$ the space of strictly upper triangular matrices $Z = \{z_{km}\}$ of size n, i.e. $z_{km} = 0$ for $k > m$, $z_{kk} = 1$, other matrix elements are arbitrary. We write $Z^{\{n\}}$ if we wish to emphasize the order of a matrix. Denote by dZ or $= dZ^{\{n\}}$ the Lebesgue measure on $\mathcal{Z}_n(\mathbb{K})$,

$$dZ = dZ^{\{n\}} := dz_{12} \dots dz_{1n}\ dz_{23} \dots dz_{2n}\ \dots\ dz_{(n-1)n}.$$

The space $\mathcal{Z}_n(\mathbb{K})$ is identified in the usual way with the space of flags in $\mathbb{K}^n$ (up to a subset of measure 0).

For a matrix $Z \in \mathcal{Z}_n(\mathbb{K})$ we denote by $[Z]_{pq}$ its left upper corner of size $p \times q$ (it has p rows and q columns). We consider such matrices only for $p < q$. Denote

$$s_{pq}(Z) := \det([Z]_{pq}\,[Z]_{pq}^*).$$

Notice that the matrix $[Z]_{pq}\,[Z]_{pq}^*$ is positive definite and therefore $s_{pq}(Z)$ are positive.

Theorem 1.1 *Let integer parameters p, q range in the domain $1 \leqslant p < q \leqslant n$. For $\lambda_{pq} \in \mathbb{C}$ set*

$$\nu_{pq} := -\frac{1}{2}(q-p-1)\varkappa + \sum_{k,m:\, p\leqslant k<q,\ q\leqslant m\leqslant n} \lambda_{mk}.$$

Then

$$\int_{\mathcal{Z}_n(\mathbb{K})} \prod_{1\leqslant p<q\leqslant n} s_{pq}(Z)^{-\lambda_{pq}}\, dZ^{\{n\}} = \pi^{n(n-1)/4} \prod_{1\leqslant p<q\leqslant n} \frac{\Gamma(\nu_{pq} - \varkappa/2)}{\Gamma(\nu_{pq})}, \quad (1.1)$$

the integral absolutely converges if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re} \nu_{pq} > \frac{1}{2}.$$

[1]supported by grant FWF, project P25142

REMARK. For $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{H}$, i.e., $\varkappa = 2$, 4, we have cancellations in the right hand side of (1.1), because

$$\frac{\Gamma(\nu-1)}{\Gamma(\nu)} = \frac{1}{\nu-1}, \qquad \frac{\Gamma(\nu-2)}{\Gamma(\nu)} = \frac{1}{(\nu-1)(\nu-2)}. \qquad \boxtimes$$

Theorem 1.1 is a corollary of the following statement.

Theorem 1.2 *Consider the map $\mathcal{Z}_n(\mathbb{K}) \to \mathcal{Z}_{n-1}(\mathbb{K})$ forgetting the last column of a matrix $Z^{\{n\}}$. Consider a measure*[2]

$$\prod_{p=1}^{n-1} s_{pn}(z)^{-\lambda_p}\, dZ^{\{n\}} \tag{1.2}$$

on $\mathcal{Z}_n(\mathbb{K})$. Assume

$$\lambda_p + \lambda_{p+1} + \cdots + \lambda_{n-1} > \frac{1}{2}(n-p)\varkappa$$

for all p. Then the pushforward of this measure under the forgetting map is

$$\pi^{\frac{(n-1)\varkappa}{2}} \prod_{1\leqslant p\leqslant n-1} \frac{\Gamma(\lambda_p + \cdots + \lambda_n - (n-p)\varkappa/2)}{\Gamma(\lambda_p + \cdots + \lambda_n - (n-p+1)\varkappa/2)} \times \\ \times \prod_{p=1}^{n-2} s_{p(n-1)}(Z^{\{n-1\}})^{-\lambda_p}\, dZ^{\{n-1\}}. \tag{1.3}$$

REMARK. On a geometric language the 'forgetting map' is projection of a flag to a $(n-1)$-dimensional subspace. $\boxtimes$

1.2. Comparision with Hua integrals. In his famous book [5], Hua Loo Keng obtained a collection of matrix integrals in the following spirit:

$$I_n(\alpha) = \int\limits_{T\in \mathrm{Symm}_n(\mathbb{R})} \det(1+T^2)^{-\alpha}\, dT = \pi^{\frac{n(n+1)}{4}} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha - n/2)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \prod_{p=1}^{n-1} \frac{\Gamma(2\alpha-(n+p)/2)}{\Gamma(2\alpha)},$$

where the integration is taken over the space $\mathrm{Symm}_n(\mathbb{R})$ of real symmetric matrices of size n. Recall that $\mathrm{Symm}_n(\mathbb{R})$ is a chart on the real Lagrangian Grassmannian $\mathcal{L}_n$ (see, e.g., [9], Sect.3.1, 3.3). Let $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ be a real symplectic matrix. The corresponding transformation of $\mathcal{L}_n$ has the form

$$\widetilde{T} = (a+Tc)^{-1}(b+Td).$$

The maximal compact subgroup of the real symplectic group $\mathrm{Sp}(2n,\mathbb{R})$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{U}(n)$, it can be realized as the group of all real matrices $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix}$

[2]This is a positive measure on $\mathcal{Z}_n(\mathbb{K})$ if $\lambda_p \in \mathbb{R}$ and a complex-valued measure if $\lambda_p \in \mathbb{C}$.

such that $a+ib \in \mathrm{U}(n)$. The integrand in the Hua integral has nice behavior under the action of the group $\mathrm{U}(n)$,

$$\det(1+\widetilde{T}^2)^{-\alpha}\, d\widetilde{T} = \det(1+T^2)^{-\alpha} |\det(a-Tb)|^{-2\alpha+n+1} dT \qquad (1.4)$$

Later (see [4], [7]) it became known that Hua integrals can be included to multi-parametric families of matrix beta-integrals. There is another nice property of Hua integrals: the measures

$$I_n(\sigma+n/2)^{-1} \det(1+T^2)^{-\sigma-n/2} dT$$

form a projective system under the natural 'cutting' map

$$\mathrm{Symm}_n(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathrm{Symm}_{n-1}(\mathbb{R}).$$

This phenomenon was a standpoint for infinite-dimensional harmonic analysis (see [10], [8], [1]).

Let us return to our expressions (1.2). To be definite, set $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$. The group $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{C})$ acts on the flag space, an element $g \in \mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{C})$ induces a rational transformation of $\mathcal{Z}_n(\mathbb{C})$. For $g \in \mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{C})$ denote by $\begin{pmatrix} a_p & b_p \\ c_p & d_p \end{pmatrix} = g$ its representation as a block matrix of size $p+(n-p)$. Denote by $Z = \begin{pmatrix} u_p & v_p \\ 0 & w_p \end{pmatrix} = Z$ the corresponding splitting of $Z \in \mathcal{Z}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Let g be unitary. Then the image of the measure (1.2) under the corresponding transformation is

$$\prod_{p=1}^{n-1} \det(u_p a_p + v_p c_p)^{-\lambda_p - 4} \cdot \prod_{p=1}^{n-1} s_{pn}(Z)^{-\lambda_p}\, dZ$$

This is an analog of (1.4) for flag spaces.

2 Calculations

2.1. The main lemma. Fix p and consider the corner $[z]_{pn}$. Theorem 1.2 is based on the following formula:

Lemma 2.1

$$\int_{\mathbb{K}} s_{pn}(Z)^{-\lambda}\, dz_{pn} = \pi^{\varkappa/2} s^{\lambda-\varkappa}_{(p-1)(n-1)}(Z) \cdot s^{\varkappa/2-\lambda}_{(p-1)n}(Z) \cdot s^{\varkappa/2-\lambda}_{p(n-1)}(Z).$$

This lemma is a corollary of two following lemmas.

Lemma 2.2 *Let a, $c > 0$, $b \in \mathbb{K}$, and $ac - b\overline{b} > 0$. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $\mathrm{Re}\,\lambda > \varkappa/2$. Then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{K}} (au\overline{u} + b\overline{u} + \overline{u}b + c)^{-\lambda} du = \pi^{\varkappa/2} a^{\lambda-\varkappa} (ac - b\overline{b})^{\varkappa/2-\lambda} \frac{\Gamma(\lambda - \varkappa/2)}{\Gamma(\lambda)}.$$

PROOF. By an affine change of variable we reduce the integral to the form $\int_{\mathbb{K}}(|w|^2+1)^{-\lambda}\,dw$. □

Lemma 2.3 *Denote $u = z_{pn}$. Then $s_{pn}(Z)$ has the form*

$$s_{pn}(Z) = au\overline{u} + u\overline{b} + \overline{b}u + c, \tag{2.1}$$

moreover

$$a = s_{(p-1)(n-1)}(Z), \qquad ac - b\overline{b} = s_{(p-1)n}(Z)\cdot s_{p(n-1)}(Z).$$

Proof of this lemma occupies Subsections 2.2-2.4.

2.2. Proof of Lemma 2.3 for $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{C}$.

Step 0. We write $[Z]_{pn}$ as a block matrix of size $\big((p-1)+1\big)\times\big((p-1)+(n-p)+1\big)\big)$,

$$[Z]_{pn} = \begin{pmatrix} Q & R & t \\ 0 & \rho & u \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore

$$s_{pn}(Z) = \begin{pmatrix} QQ^* + RR^* + tt^* & R\rho^* + t\overline{u} \\ \rho R^* + ut^* & \rho\rho^* + u\overline{u} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Step 1. We write coefficients a, b, c in (2.1):

$$a = \det(QQ^* + RR^* + tt^*) + \det\begin{pmatrix} QQ^* + RR^* + tt^* & t \\ t & 0 \end{pmatrix} =$$
$$= \det\begin{pmatrix} QQ^* + RR^* + tt^* & t \\ t & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \det\left[\begin{pmatrix} QQ^* + RR^* & 0 \\ t & 1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t^* & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right] =$$
$$= \det(QQ^* + RR^*) = s_{(p-1)(q-1)}(Z);$$

$$\overline{b} = \det\begin{pmatrix} QQ^* + RR^* + tt^* & t \\ \rho R^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \det\left[\begin{pmatrix} QQ^* + RR^* & t \\ \rho R^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t^* & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right] =$$
$$= \det\begin{pmatrix} QQ^* + RR^* & t \\ \rho R^* & 0 \end{pmatrix};$$

$$c = \det\begin{pmatrix} QQ^* + RR^* + tt^* & R^*\rho \\ R\rho^* & \rho\rho^* \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.2}$$

Step 2. Recall the Desnanot-Jacobi formula (see, e.g., [6]). Consider a block matrix S of size $m+1+1$,

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} U & v_1 & v_2 \\ w_1 & x_{11} & x_{12} \\ w_2 & x_{12} & x_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\det(U)\det(S) =$$
$$= \det\begin{pmatrix} U & v_1 \\ w_1 & x_{11} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \det\begin{pmatrix} U & v_2 \\ w_2 & x_{22} \end{pmatrix} - \det\begin{pmatrix} U & v_1 \\ w_2 & x_{21} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \det\begin{pmatrix} U & v_2 \\ w_1 & x_{12} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We apply this identity to the matrix

$$H := \begin{pmatrix} QQ^* + RR^* + tt^* & R\rho^* & t \\ \rho R^* & \rho\rho^* & 0 \\ t^* & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and get

$$ac - b\overline{b} = \det(H)\det(QQ^* + RR^* + tt^*). \tag{2.3}$$

Next, we represent H as

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} QQ^* + RR^* & R\rho^* & t \\ \rho R^* & \rho\rho^* & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ t^* & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.4}$$

Therefore

$$\det(H) = \begin{pmatrix} QQ^* + RR^* & R\rho^* \\ \rho R^* & \rho\rho^* \end{pmatrix} = s_{p(n-1)}(Z). \tag{2.5}$$

On the other hand, $\det(QQ^* + RR^* + tt^*) = s_{(p-1)n}(Z)$, and (2.3) implies the desired statement.

2.3. Several remarks on quaternionic determinants.

1) *Definition of a quaternionic determinant.* Consider an $m \times m$ matrix A over quaternions. It determines an automorphism of an $\mathbb{H}$-module $\mathbb{H}^m$ and therefore a $\mathbb{R}$-linear operator $A_{\mathbb{R}}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{4m}$, it can be easily shown that $\det(A_{\mathbb{R}}) \geqslant 0$. We set

$$\det(A) := \sqrt[4]{\det(A_{\mathbb{R}})}.$$

By definition, $\det(AB) = \det(A)\det(B)$, for strict upper-triangular (and lower triangular) matrices the determinant is 1, for diagonal matrices with entries a_{ii} it equals $\prod |a_{ii}|$. These remarks estanblish a coincidence of $\det(A)$ with Dieudonne determinant [2] over $\mathbb{H}$.

2) *Formula for determinant of block matrix* (see, e.g., [3], Sect. II.5) remains valid for quaternionic matrices

$$\det\begin{pmatrix} u & v \\ w & x \end{pmatrix} = \det(u) \cdot \det(x - wu^{-1}v), \tag{2.6}$$

here $\begin{pmatrix} u & v \\ w & x \end{pmatrix}$ is a block matrix of size $(m+k) \times (m+k)$, u is assumed to be invertible. The formula follows from the identity

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -wu^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u & v \\ w & x \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -u^{-1}v \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & x - wu^{-1}v \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.7}$$

3) *Positive definite matrices.* Let a block matrix $\begin{pmatrix} u & v \\ w & x \end{pmatrix}$ be Hermitian positive definite. Then $x - wu^{-1}v$ also is positive definite (in this case the matrix in the left-hand side of (2.7) is positive definite).

4) For positive definite block $(m+1) \times (m+1)$ matrices we can write

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} u & v \\ w & x \end{pmatrix} = \det(u) \cdot (x - wu^{-1}v). \tag{2.8}$$

In particular, for 2×2 positive definite matrices we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} u & v \\ \overline{v} & x \end{pmatrix} = ux - v\overline{v}. \tag{2.9}$$

2.4. Proof of Lemma 2.3 for $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{H}$. Step 0 is the same.

Step 1. Since $s_{pn}(Z)$ is positive definite, we have

$$s_{pn}(Z) = \begin{pmatrix} QQ^* + RR^* + tt^* & R\rho^* + t\overline{u} \\ \rho R^* + ut^* & \rho\rho^* + u\overline{u} \end{pmatrix} =$$
$$= \det(QQ^* + RR^* + tt^*) \times$$
$$\times \Big[\rho\rho^* + u\overline{u} - (\rho R^* + ut^*)(QQ^* + RR^* + tt^*)^{-1}(R\rho^* + t\overline{u})\Big].$$

Expanding the expression in square brackets in variables u, $\overline{u}$, we get

$$a = \det(QQ^* + RR^* + tt^*) \cdot \big[1 - t^*(QQ^* + RR^* + tt^*)^{-1}t\big]; \tag{2.10}$$
$$b = \det(QQ^* + RR^* + tt^*) \cdot \big[-t^*(QQ^* + RR^* + tt^*)^{-1}R\rho^*\big]; \tag{2.11}$$
$$c = \det(QQ^* + RR^* + tt^*) \cdot \big[\rho\rho^* - \rho R^*(QQ^* + RR^* + tt^*)^{-1}R\rho^*\big]. \tag{2.12}$$

Notice, that expression (2.10) is real and therefore we can write $ua\overline{u} = au\overline{u}$.

Next, we transform a as

$$a = \det \begin{pmatrix} QQ^* + RR^* + tt^* & t \\ t^* & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.13}$$

Indeed, this matrix is positive definite because it equals XX^* with $X = \begin{pmatrix} Q & P & t \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Therefore we can apply the transformation (2.8) to (2.13) and get the initial expression (2.10) for a.

This argumentation remains to be valid for c (we do not need a final expression), but generally

$$b \neq \det \begin{pmatrix} QQ^* + RR^* + tt^* & R\rho^* \\ t^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

(the expression in the right hand side is real positive, the expression (2.11) for b is not real).

Transforming a as in $\mathbb{R}$-$\mathbb{C}$-cases, we get

$$a = s_{(p-1)(n-1)}(Z).$$

Step 2. The Desnanot-Jacobi identity does not hold for matrices over $\mathbb{H}$. However we can adapt previous reasonigs for quaternionic case. Again, consider the matrix H given by (2.4) and transform its determinant

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} QQ^* + RR^* + tt^* & R\rho^* & t \\ \rho R^* & \rho\rho^* & 0 \\ t^* & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \det(QQ^* + RR^* + tt^*) \times$$
$$\times \det \left[\begin{pmatrix} \rho\rho^* & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \rho R^* \\ t^* \end{pmatrix} (QQ^* + RR^* + tt^*)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} R\rho^* & t \end{pmatrix} \right] =$$
$$= \det(QQ^* + RR^* + tt^*) \times$$
$$\times \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 - t^*(QQ^* + RR^* + tt^*)^{-1}t & -\rho R^*(QQ^* + RR^* + tt^*)^{-1}t \\ -t^*(QQ^* + RR^* + tt^*)^{-1}R\rho^* & \rho\rho^* - \rho R^*(QQ^* + RR^* + tt^*)^{-1}R\rho^* \end{bmatrix}.$$

Denote by Ξ the 2×2 matrix in square brackets. The matrix H is positive definite since

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} Q & R & t \\ 0 & \rho & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Q & R & t \\ 0 & \rho & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^*,$$

therefore Ξ is positive definite. Hence we can evaluate $\det[\Xi]$ by (2.9). Comparing its matrix elements with (2.10)–(2.12), we get

$$\det(\Xi) = \det(QQ^* + RR^* + tt^*)^{-2}(ac - b\overline{b})$$

Therefore

$$\det(H) = (ac - b\overline{b}) \cdot \det(QQ^* + RR^* + tt^*)^{-1} = (ac - b\overline{b}) \cdot s_{(p-1)n}(Z)^{-1}.$$

The reasoning (2.4)–(2.5) remains valid and we get $\det H = s_{p(n-1)}(Z)$. This completes the calculation.

2.5. Proof of Theorem 1.2. Consider a function Ψ on $\mathcal{Z}_n(\mathbb{K})$ that does not depend on variables $z_{1n}, \ldots, z_{(n-1)n}$. We denote such functions as $\Psi(Z^{\{n-1\}})$. Let us transform the integral

$$\int\limits_{\mathcal{Z}_n(\mathbb{H})} \Psi(Z^{\{n-1\}}) \cdot \prod_{p=1}^{n-1} s_{pn}(Z)^{-\lambda_p}\, dZ^{\{n\}} =$$
$$= \int\limits_{\mathcal{Z}_{n-1}(\mathbb{H}) \times \mathbb{K}^{n-1}} \Psi(Z^{\{n-1\}}) \cdot \prod_{p=1}^{n-1} s_{pn}(Z)^{-\lambda_p}\, dZ^{\{n-1\}}\, dz_{1n}\, dz_{2n} \ldots dz_{(n-1)n}.$$

The variable $z_{(n-1)n}$ is presented only in the factor $s_{pn}(z)^{-\lambda_{n-1}}(Z)$. Integrating with respect to $z_{(n-1)n}$ by Lemma 2.1 we get

$$\pi^{\varkappa/2}\frac{\Gamma(\lambda_{n-1}-\varkappa/2)}{\Gamma(\lambda_{n-1})}\int\limits_{\mathcal{Z}_{n-2}(\mathbb{H})\times\mathbb{K}^{n-1}}\left[\Psi(Z^{\{n-1\}})\cdot s_{(p-1)(n-1)}(Z^{\{n-1\}})^{\lambda_{n-1}-\varkappa}\right]\times$$

$$\times\left[\prod_p^{n-3}s_{pn}(Z^{\{n\}})^{-\lambda_p}\right]\cdot s_{(n-2)n}(Z^{\{n\}})^{-\lambda_{n-2}-\lambda_{n-1}+\varkappa/2}\,dZ^{\{n-1\}}\,dz_{1n}\dots dz_{(n-2)n}.$$

Now the variable $z_{(n-2)n}$ is presented only in the factor

$$s_{(p-1)n}(Z^{\{n\}})^{-\lambda_{n-2}-\lambda_{n-1}+\varkappa/2}.$$

We again apply Lemma 2.1. Etc. Finally, we get the integration of $\Psi(Z^{\{n-1\}})$ with respect to the measure (1.3).

References

[1] Borodin, A.; Olshanski, G. *Harmonic analysis on the infinite-dimensional unitary group and determinantal point processes.* Ann. of Math. (2) 161 (2005), no. 3, 1319-1422

[2] Dieudonné, J. *Les déterminants sur un corps non commutatif.* (French) Bull. Soc. Math. France 71, (1943). 27-45.

[3] Gantmacher, F. R. *The theory of matrices.* Reprint of the 1959 translation. AMS Chelsea Publishing, Providence, RI, 1998.

[4] Gindikin, S. G. *Analysis in homogeneous domains.* (Russian) Uspehi Mat. Nauk 19 1964 no. 4 (118), 3-92; English. transl.: Russ. Math. Surv., 1964, 19:4, 1=89

[5] Hua, L. K. *Harmonic analysis of functions of several complex variables in the classical domains.* Science Press, Peking, 1958 (Chinese); Russian translation: Izdat. Inostr. Lit., Moscow 1959; English translation: Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I. 1963

[6] Krattenthaler, C. *Advanced determinant calculus.* The Andrews Festschrift (Maratea, 1998). Sém.n Lothar. Combin. 42 (1999), Art. B42q, 67 pp. (electronic).

[7] Neretin, Yu. A. *Matrix analogues of the B-function, and the Plancherel formula for Berezin kernel representations.* Sb. Math. 191 (2000), no. 5-6, 683-715

[8] Neretin, Yu. A. *Hua-type integrals over unitary groups and over projective limits of unitary groups.* Duke Math. J. 114 (2002), no. 2, 239-266.

[9] Neretin, Yu. A. *Lectures on Gaussian integral operators and classical groups.* EMS, Zürich, 2011.

[10] Pickrell, D. *Measures on infinite-dimensional Grassmann manifolds.* J. Funct. Anal. 70 (1987), no. 2, 323-356.

Math.Dept., University of Vienna,
Oskar-Morgenstern-Platz 1, 1090 Wien;
& Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics (Moscow)
& Mech.Math.Dept., Moscow State University,
e-mail: neretin(at) mccme.ru
URL:www.mat.univie.ac.at/∼neretin